

REMARKS

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the careful review of this application. Claims 1-29 remain pending after entry of this amendment.

Claims 1-29 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by Fields et al., US 6,338,059 ("Fields"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The claims were amended to correct antecedent basis formatting, but otherwise, the claims remain as originally presented.

The Examiner points to Fields as teaching each and every element of each of the independent claims. Among other features not taught or suggested by Fields, particular attention is drawn to the element of "transforming the original server presentation data into transformed presentation data according to a predetermined rule...". Fields teaches using specific "words or elements" of a first page to create a new search query. The new search query is then fed to another search engine in order to generate a second page. The results, however, are not transformed. The results are simply presented to the user in a second page. The second pages, in what ever form they are originally designed to be presented, are simply presented to the user. Consequently, there is not transformation, as is specifically claimed in each of the independent claims. Careful reading of Fields will show, including the areas of pointed out by the Examiner, do not teach the transformation. The Examiner pointed to Col. 6, lines 7-24, to teach the transformation, which is done in accordance with predetermined rules. The teaching by Fields, in the sections cited by the Examiner, simply teaches to use selected content from a web page and then use that content as "search terms" for the HTTP request. Once the selected content was found, no transformation was made. Each of the independent claims contain this limitation, which is not taught nor suggested by Fields. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

Although specific emphasis is placed on the transformation operation to illustrate Field's failure to teach each and every element of the present invention, the Applicants submit that other claim elements of the pending independent claims are also not taught by Fields.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner remove the Section 102 rejection.

A Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any questions concerning the present amendment, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at (408) 749-6903. If any other fees are due in connection with filing this amendment, the Commissioner is also authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0805 (Order No SONYP012). A duplicate copy of the transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP


Albert S. Penilla, Esq.
Reg. No. 39,487

710 Lakeway Drive, Suite 170
Sunnyvale, CA 94085
Telephone: (408) 749-6900
Facsimile: (408) 749-6901
Customer No. 25920